

Org. unit	Org. unit				Project titl	Project title					Date:			
Officer responsible for the commission	Name				Connecti	ve Cities - Th	e Commu	ınity of Practi	ce for	PN	PN: 19.6253.9-001.02			
Assessor	Name				Sustainal	ble Urban Dev	velopmen	t		Contract no.	Contract	no.		
Version	Individual assessment/overall a	ssessment									Bidde	er 1 to 5 of 10		
											2.44			
		Enter	bidder 1	Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5				
	1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)		
Crite	erion	Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment		Assessment	Points	Assessment		
1 Assessment of technical-r	methodological design	in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)		
1.1 Strategy	nemodological design						1		1		1			
Interpretation of the objectives in the	ne ToRs, critical examination of	3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
tasks		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.1.2 Description and justification of the	contractor's strategy for delivering	5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
tne services put out to tender.														
Interim total 1.1 1.2 Cooperation		8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
Presentation and interaction between	een the relevant actors in the	20/												
1.2.1 contractor's area of responsibility		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.2.2 Strategy for establishing cooperation and then cooperating with the		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
relevant actors														
Interim total 1.2 1.3 Steering structure		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
Approach and procedure for steeri	ng the measures with the project													
1.3.1 partners	ing the medeales with the project	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.3.2 Description of contractor's contribu	ution to results monitoring and the	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
associated challenges				0.0				0.0		0.0		0.0		
Interim total 1.3		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.4 Processes Presentation and explanation of the	e implementation plan; work stone													
1.4.1 milestones, schedule	e implementation plant work steps,	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.4.2 Presentation and explanation of the	e integration of the partner	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
Interim total 1.4		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.5 Learning and innovation														
1.5.1 Contractor's contribution to knowle and at GIZ		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.5.2 Presentation and explanation of the contractor to promote scaling-up e		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
Interim total 1.5		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		
1.6 Project management of the cont		9.51												
1.6.1 Approach and procedure for coord	ination with/in GI∠ project	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		

Form 31-10-1-en Page 1 of 5



Org. unit	Org. unit		Project title					Date	Date:			
Officer responsible for the commission	Name				Connecti	ve Cities - Th	e Commu	nity of Praction	ce for	PN	PN: 19.62	53.9-001.02
Assessor	Name				Sustaina	ble Urban Dev	velopment			Contract no.	Contract	no.
Version	Individual assessment/overall assessment										Bidde	er 1 to 5 of 10
			Enter	r bidder 1 Enter		Enter bidder 2 Ente		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		bidder 5
(*	(1)		(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
Criterion		Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
		in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)
1.6.2 Personnel assignment plan (who, very land) explanation and specification of explanation and specification and specification and specification of explanation and specification and specificat		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0



Org. unit	Org. unit				Project tit	le	Date	Date:				
Officer responsible for the commission	onsible for the commission Name				Connecti	ve Cities - Th	ce for	PN	PN: 19.62	253.9-001.02		
Assessor	Name					ble Urban Dev				Contract no.	Contract	no
										0011110011101		
Version Individual assessment/overall ass		ssessment					1				Bidd	er 1 to 5 of 10
			Enter bidder 1		Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5	
11	1)	(2)	(2)	(4)	(2)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(4)	(2)	(4)
	erion	(2) Weighting	(3) Points	Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	Points	Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	(3) Points	Assessment
- Control	511611	in %	(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)	
Contractor's backstopping strategy	(incl. CVs of the technical and		(**************************************		(11100111110)		()		(**************************************		()	
administrative backstopper)	,	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.6		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.7 Further requirements		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total 1		35%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2 Assessment of proposed s	staff											
2.1 Team leader (in accordance with	ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.1.1 - Qualifications		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.2 - Language		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.3 - General professional experience		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.4 - Specific professional experience		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.5 - Leadership/management experien	nce	6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.6 - Regional experience		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.7 - Development cooperation experie	ence	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.1		29%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2 Expert 1 (in accordance with Tol	R provisions/criteria)											
2.2.1 - Qualifications		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.4 - Specific professional experience2.2.5 - Leadership/management experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.6 - Regional experience	nce	0% 0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.7 - Development cooperation experie	200	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.8 - Other	ance	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.2		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3 Expert 2 (in accordance with Tol	R provisions/criteria)	0 78		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.1 - Qualifications	A provisions/criteria)	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.5 - Leadership/management experies	nce	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		370		3.0		3.0		3.0		3.0		



Org. unit	Org. unit		Project tit	le		Date	Date:					
Officer responsible for the commission	Name				Connecti	ve Cities - Th	ne Commu	ce for	PN	PN: 19.6253.9-001.02		
Assessor	Name				Sustaina	ble Urban De	velopmen	t		Contract no.	Contract	no.
	Individual assessment/overall a									3 01		
Version	individual assessment/overall a	ssessment									Bidd	er 1 to 5 of 10
			Enter	bidder 1	Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5	
				,		,				,		
	1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
Crite	erion	Weighting	Points	Assessment		Assessment		Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
		in %	(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)		(max.10)	
2.3.7 - Development cooperation experied 2.3.8 - Other	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.3		0% 0 %		0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0
2.4 Expert 3 (in accordance with Tol	P provisions/oritoria)	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.1 - Qualifications	k provisions/criteria)	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.1 - Qualifications 2.4.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.5 - Leadership/management experies	nce	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.7 - Development cooperation experie	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.4		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5 Expert 4 (in accordance with Tol	R provisions/criteria)											
2.5.1 - Qualifications	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.5 - Leadership/management experie	nce	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.7 - Development cooperation experie	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.5.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.5		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6 Short-term expert pool 1 (in acco	ordance with ToR											
2.6.1 - Qualifications		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.2 - Language		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.3 - General professional experience		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.4 - Specific professional experience2.6.5 - Regional experience		4% 3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.6 - Development cooperation experience		3% 1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.7 - Other	51100	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.6		18%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.7 Short-term expert pool 2 (in acco	ordance with ToR	1076		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		3.0
2.7.1 - Qualifications	oracioo mili roit	3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Z.7.1 Qualifications		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0



Org. ι	unit	Org. unit				Project tit	le				Date	Date:	
Office	er responsible for the commission	Name				Connecti	ve Cities - Th	e Commu	nity of Practi	ce for	PN	PN: 19.62	253.9-001.02
Asses	ssor	Name				Sustaina	ble Urban De	velopment	<u>t</u>		Contract no.	Contract	no.
Version	on	Individual assessment/overall as	ssessment									Bidd	er 1 to 5 of 10
				Enter	bidder 1	Enter	bidder 2	Enter	bidder 3	Enter	bidder 4	Enter	bidder 5
	,	1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
	Crite	erion	Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment		Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
0.7.0	Lianniana		in %	(max.10)	. , , ,	(max.10)		(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)		(max.10)	
2.7.2	LanguageGeneral professional experience		3% 3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.7.4			4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.7.5			3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.7.6	- Development cooperation experie	ence	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	- Other		1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interi	Interim total 2.7		18%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.8	Assessment of proposed persor (provided permissible under Tol												
	Composition and sufficient assignr to perform the tasks specified in thassignment plan		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.8.2	Qualifications and sufficient assigr (professional experience and other process theme 1		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	Qualifications and sufficient assigr (professional experience and other process theme 2		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interi	m total 2.8		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total	2		65%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		Overall total 1 + 2	100%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		Assessment in %			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		Ranking			1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith. I will treat the information confidentially and will not pass on any details of the ongoing assessment procedure.

Date, signature